UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/589,859	08/16/2007	Lohr Joachim	L7725.06120	2204	
52989 Dickinson Wrig	7590 09/03/200 ht PLLC	9	EXAMINER		
James E. Ledbetter, Esq.			VIANA DI PRISCO, GERMAN		
International Square 1875 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, Do			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/03/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/589,859	JOACHIM ET AL.					
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	GERMAN VIANA DI PRISCO	2617					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>GERMAN VIANA DI PRISCO</u> .	(3) <u>JAMES E. LEDBETTEF</u>	₹.					
(2) <u>RAFAEL PEREZ-GUTIERREZ</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 27 August 2009.							
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>26 and 32</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>3GPP '309, Mueckenheim et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2006/0215604 A1, and 3GPP '43</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The Examiner and Mr. Ledbetter discussed proposed claim language in view of the teachings of the prior art. Said proposed claim language appears to overcome the prior art of record if submitted formally.</u>							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Germán Viana Di Prisco/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 2617					